Corresponding Audit No:	Study Title:
HTA license No:	Ethics Clearance Code:
Designated Individual: Karen Coopman	Audit Date
Audit Personnel:	Response Date:
Member of staff taking consent:	Audit Location:
Feedback presented to:	·
*Responses presented by:	
*Decompose should be presented by the Dringing Investigator the Associate	Doon for Becoarch or the Head of School Benracentatives may be neminated to get an habelf of the

<sup>\*</sup>Responses should be presented by the Principal Investigator, the Associate Dean for Research or the Head of School. Representatives may be nominated to act on behalf of the afore mentioned persons, only in the instance of provision of a counter signature.

# **Audit Summary:**

Corresponding Audit No:	Study Title:
HTA license No:	Ethics Clearance Code:
Designated Individual: Karen Coopman	Audit Date
Audit Personnel:	Response Date:
Member of staff taking consent:	Audit Location:
Feedback presented to:	·
*Responses presented by:	
*Because should be presented by the Principal Investigator the Acceptate	Doon for Becoarch or the Head of School Benracentatives may be nominated to get an hehalf of the

<sup>\*</sup>Responses should be presented by the Principal Investigator, the Associate Dean for Research or the Head of School. Representatives may be nominated to act on behalf of the afore mentioned persons, only in the instance of provision of a counter signature.

Names of any additional persons involved in the consenting process. (Fill Horizontally)		
Provide the contact details of persons involved in the consenting process. (Fill Horizontally)		
	Comments/ Suggested Actions. *To be completed by the auditors	Response (and date completed/ intended to be complete if applicable).  *This is to be completed post audit.
Environment		
Is the environment suitable? Does the environment balance participant privacy requirement with safeguarding?		
Communication		
Are there copies of the consent form and the participant information sheet available for recap?		

Corresponding Audit No:	Study Title:	
HTA license No:	Ethics Clearance Code:	
Designated Individual: Karen Coopman	Audit Date	
Audit Personnel:	Response Date:	
Member of staff taking consent:	Audit Location:	
Feedback presented to:		
*Responses presented by:		
*Responses should be presented by the Principal Investigator, the Associate Dean for Research or the Head of School. Representatives may be nominated to act on behalf of the		

<sup>\*</sup>Responses should be presented by the Principal Investigator, the Associate Dean for Research or the Head of School. Representatives may be nominated to act on behalf of the afore mentioned persons, only in the instance of provision of a counter signature.

Is the written information in		
a format and language that		
is easy for the participant to		
understand?		
Is the consenting session		
conducted in a professional		
manner, i.e.; no		
unnecessary interruptions,		
attention towards the		
participant?		
Is the verbal information		
conveyed step by step, and		
in a manner and language		
that is easy to understand?		
Have the investigators		
ensured comprehension of		
the study by asking the		
participant to confirm what		
they think the study		
involves (this is particularly		
important for children and		
vulnerable groups)		
Has the participant been		
given the opportunity and		
been invited to ask		
questions?		
Has the participant been		
asked whether or not they		
have had the chance to		

Corresponding Audit No:	Study Title:
HTA license No:	Ethics Clearance Code:
Designated Individual: Karen Coopman	Audit Date
Audit Personnel:	Response Date:
Member of staff taking consent:	Audit Location:
Feedback presented to:	
*Responses presented by:	
*Posponses should be presented by the Principal Investigator, the Associate	Dean for Desearch or the Head of School Penrosentatives may be nominated to act on hehalf of the

<sup>\*</sup>Responses should be presented by the Principal Investigator, the Associate Dean for Research or the Head of School. Representatives may be nominated to act on behalf of the afore mentioned persons, only in the instance of provision of a counter signature.

consult others in their decision.		
Has the participant been		
given the time stated on the		
ethics as a minimum to		
consider the information		
given prior to signing the		
consent form? (24 hours		
minimum). Initial		
dissemination of information		
MUST not take place at the		
same time as consent is		
being obtained.		
Are there any signs of		
coercion?		
Is the consent form		
appropriate for the		
audience, i.e. child assent		
form used for minors etc.		
Storage		
otorago .		
If enduring consent has		
been requested, has the		
meaning of this been made		
clear to the participant.		
Withdrawal of		
Consent		
COHSCHI		

Study Title:
Ethics Clearance Code:
Audit Date
Response Date:
Audit Location:
ean for Research or the Head of School. Representatives may be nominated to act on behalf of the re.

Has it been vocalised to the participant that they can withdraw their consent?		
Have any limitations on consent withdrawal been made clear? I.e. if the sample has already been analysed / publication already in press?		
Research misconduct		
Has the participant been informed of the research office and how to lodge a complaint if needs be.		

Corresponding Audit No:	Study Title:
HTA license No:	Ethics Clearance Code:
Designated Individual: Karen Coopman	Audit Date
Audit Personnel:	Response Date:
Member of staff taking consent:	Audit Location:
Feedback presented to:	
*Responses presented by:	
*Responses should be presented by the Principal Investigator, the Associate Dean for afore mentioned persons, only in the instance of provision of a counter signature.	Research or the Head of School. Representatives may be nominated to act on behalf of the
Comments/ Actions recorded above, on <u>22/06/2021</u> vledge.	are accurate and correct to the best of my
*Signature of Auditor Print Name	
responses recorded above, on 22/06/2021 are accuagreed with the auditors.	urate and will be actioned and complete by the
Signature of the Designated Individual;	Print Name
Signature of Investigator	•••••
Date agreed for actions to be complete	

Corresponding Audit No:	Study Title:
HTA license No:	Ethics Clearance Code:
Designated Individual: Karen Coopman	Audit Date
Audit Personnel:	Response Date:
Member of staff taking consent:	Audit Location:
Feedback presented to:	·
*Responses presented by:	
	Dean for Research or the Head of School. Representatives may be nominated to act on behalf of the

afore mentioned persons, only in the instance of provision of a counter signature.

Date for re-audit (if applicable) .....

Audit Report Template for HTA licenced premises

Corresponding SSEHS Audit No: xxxxx	Area/Lab audited:
HTA license No:	Person Designated:
Designated Individual:	Audit Date:
Audit Personnel:	Response Date:
Other personnel present during the audit:	
Feedback presented to:	
Responses presented by:	